

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/057,040	01/25/2002	Michael L. Myrick	16139/09002-CON	5497	
7	7590 07/31/2003				
	Lloyd G. Farr			EXAMINER	
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough, LLP 1330 Lady Street			SEDIGHIAN, REZA		
P.O. Box 11070 Columbia, SC 29211			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2633		
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003	5	
		•		/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•	10/057,040	MYRICK, MICHAEL L.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	M. R. Sedighian	2633		
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sl	heet with the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however nunication. O) days, a reply within the statutory minimu atutory period will apply and will expire SIX will, by statute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on 25 January 2002.			
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final	I.		
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	n for allowance except for form	nal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the a	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from consideration	on.		
5) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 6</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.				
<ul><li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restrice</li><li>Application Papers</li></ul>	tion and/or election requireme	ent.		
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Evaminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		to by the Examinor		
Applicant may not request that any obj				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed	= : :	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
If approved, corrected drawings are rea				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to		,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreian priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3(4) (1)		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have been receive	ed.		
2. Certified copies of the priority				
3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents have national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	be been received in this National Stage 2(a)).		
_		J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) The translation of the foreign land 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	nguage provisional application	has been received.		
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PS) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1	PTO-948) 5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  ptice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  her:		
. Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5		

Application/Serial Number: 10/057,040

Art Unit: 2633

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 6, it recites the limitation "said wavelength spectrum" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Digonnet et al. (US patent No: 4,938,556).

Regarding claim 4, Digonnet teaches a light source in an optical spectroscopy system (col. 12, lines 41-63), a method of compensating for a change in light signal (col. 23, lines 62-67, col. 24, lines 1-10), comprising the steps of: providing a light source that outputs a light signal having a wavelength spectrum (col. 23, lines 62-63, col. 24, line 60); identifying a relationship between change in the wavelength spectrum (col. 24, lines 62-64) and an average wavelength spectrum of the light source (col. 24, lines 17-28). Digonnet differs from the claimed invention in that Digonnet does not specifically disclose modifying the wavelength spectrum based on the identified relationship. Digonnet discloses appropriately selecting the variation of wavelength

Application/Serial Number: 10/057,040

Art Unit: 2633

::1

with respect to temperature (col. 24, lines 6-7) and a directional relationship between the average wavelength and the emission wavelength of a light source (col. 24, lines 20-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of invention that an optical transmission system such as the one of Digonnet can provide variation for the light source emission spectrum with respect to an average wavelength spectrum to further compensate or to reduce the temperature dependence of the light source.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zawaideh (US patent No: 5,889,592).

Regarding claim 6, Zawaideh teaches a light source (15, fig. 1) in an optical spectroscopy system (col. 3, lines 10-25), a method of compensating for change in a light signal (15, fig. 1), comprising the steps of: applying a light signal from the light source (15, fig. 1) to a measurement sample (13, 14, fig. 1), wherein the entire wavelength range of the light signal applied to the sample (col. 2, lines 51-55 and fig. 5), defining a relationship between change in spectral shape over the wavelength spectrum and change in input power to the light source (col. 4, lines 9-31). Zawaideh differs from the claimed invention in that Zawaideh does not specifically disclose modifying the input power to the light source based on the defined relationship to compensate for the change. Zawaideh teaches a controller 17 that can control the light source 15 (col. 3, lines 22-25). Zawaideh further discloses using the concept of relative shift (ratio) of power spectral density as a function of incident angle (col. 4, lines 9-11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of invention that a controller such as the one of

Application/Serial Number: 10/057,040

Art Unit: 2633

Page 4

Zawaideh can provide a control signal to the light source to modify the input power of the light source in order to detect and measure different parameters.

- 6. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 1-3 are allowed over prior art of record.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. R. Sedighian whose telephone number is (703) 308-9063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (703) 305-4729. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

M.R. SEDIGHIAN
Patent Examinar

m. M. Edil

Art Unit: 2633